



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.ispio.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
09/219,195	12/21/1998	FRANCIS CHEE-SHUEN LEE	SA997115	2143
75	590 08/28/2002			
MONICA D LEE IBM CORPORATION			EXAMINER	
			CASTRO, ANGEL A	
	AL PROPERTY LAW ROAD L2PA 0142			
SAN JOSE, CA			ART UNIT PAPER NUM	
, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,			2651	
			DATE MÁILED: 08/28/2002	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

m

₩	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
Advisory Action	09/219,195	LEE ET AL.	V
navicery nearly	Examiner	Art Unit	
•	Angel A. Castro	2651	
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence addre	ess
THE REPLY FILED 07 August 2002 FAILS TO PLACE T Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to av final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (1) condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appeal Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.	oid abandonment of this applica a timely filed amendment which	ation. A proper reply n places the applicati	to a ion in
PERIOD FOR RE	PLY [check either a) or b)]		
a) The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire Is ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS 706.07(f).  Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The fee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the seminary of th	Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth ater than SIX MONTHS from the mailing FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF The date on which the petition under 37 CF of extension and the corresponding amount shortened statutory period for reply	g date of the final rejection HE FINAL REJECTION. S R 1.136(a) and the approperation of the fee. The appropriginally set in the final C	n. See MPEP priate extension priate extension Office action; or
<ul> <li>(2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 C</li> <li>1. A Notice of Appeal was filed on Appellant's</li> </ul>	FR 1.704(b).		ion, even if
37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CFF	R 1.191(d)), to avoid dismissal o	f the appeal.	
2. The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered be			
(a) they raise new issues that would require further	•	see NOTE below);	
(b) they raise the issue of new matter (see Note b	•		
<ul><li>(c)  they are not deemed to place the application ir issues for appeal; and/or</li></ul>	n better form for appeal by mate	rially reducing or sim	plifying the
(d) they present additional claims without canceling	ng a corresponding number of fi	nally rejected claims	
NOTE:  3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection	(-)		
3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection	on(s):		
4. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would canceling the non-allowable claim(s).	be allowable if submitted in a se	eparate, timely filed a	mendment
5. ☑ The a) ☐ affidavit, b) ☐ exhibit, or c) ☑ request for application in condition for allowance because: See	reconsideration has been consi <u>e Continuation Sheet</u> .	dered but does NOT	place the
6. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered becaraised by the Examiner in the final rejection.	ause it is not directed SOLELY to	o issues which were	newly
7. For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendments explanation of how the new or amended claims we			nd an
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:			
Claim(s) allowed:			
Claim(s) objected to:			
Claim(s) rejected:			
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:			
8. The proposed drawing correction filed on is a	a)☐ approved or b)☐ disapp	roved by the Examin	er.
9. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statemen			
10. Other:	, i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i	<del></del>	

Continuation of 5. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: The position of record in the final Office Action dated 5/22/02 has been maintained.

DAVID HUDSPETH SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2800